

How Risk Retention Groups Evolved to Fill Critical Insurance Gaps

When traditional coverage becomes unavailable or unaffordable, these specialized structures offer industry-specific solutions — but require careful management to succeed.

By: [Pinnacle Actuarial Resources Inc.](#) | September 2025

In the late-1970s and early-1980s, American businesses faced a liability insurance crisis that threatened operations across multiple sectors. Coverage that had been readily available suddenly became either prohibitively expensive or completely unavailable, leaving companies scrambling for alternatives. This crisis prompted federal intervention and ultimately led to the creation of one of the insurance industry's most specialized structures: Risk Retention Groups.



Today, more than 220 Risk Retention Groups operate across the United States, representing a small but important portion of the insurance landscape. While they comprise just a fraction of the more than 3,000 insurance companies nationwide, these entities effectively serve niche markets that traditional carriers often struggle to address adequately.

“RRGs were developed in the 1980s during a liability crisis when coverage became either unavailable or unaffordable for many businesses,” said Greg Fears, Director and Consulting Actuary at Pinnacle Actuarial Resources. “The federal government addressed this by passing the Liability Risk Retention Act, allowing businesses with similar operations to pool their risk and form an insurance company under state regulation.”

The Birth of a Solution

The Liability Risk Retention Act emerged as a direct response to market failures that left entire industries without adequate coverage options. The legislation created a framework that allowed businesses facing similar risks to band together and essentially become their own insurance company, regulated under state oversight but with expanded operational flexibility.



**Greg Fears, Director
and Consulting
Actuary, Pinnacle
Actuarial Resources**

This structure introduced a unique ownership model where all insureds of an RRG serve as both owners and policyholders. This alignment of interests creates natural incentives for loss control and responsible risk management, since poor performance directly impacts the owners' bottom line.

The federal legislation also addressed one of the most significant regulatory hurdles facing multi-state operations. Traditional insurance companies must obtain licensing and rate approval in each state where they operate—a costly and time-consuming process that can limit market access.

“A key advantage is that RRGs are domiciled and licensed in one state but can conduct business in any state where they're registered,” Fears said. “This means they don't have to file and approve rates in every operational state—they simply need to register. Their rates are filed and approved in their domiciliary state, eliminating the need for approval processes elsewhere.”

This regulatory efficiency proves particularly valuable for industries with geographically dispersed operations but similar risk profiles. Medical professionals, trucking companies, and other specialized sectors can access consistent coverage across state lines without navigating multiple regulatory frameworks.

Risk Management Advantages and Inherent Limitations

The structure of Risk Retention Groups creates several distinct advantages for risk management. Perhaps most significantly, RRGs can respond rapidly to changing loss experience without waiting for regulatory approval in multiple jurisdictions.

“Another advantage is the ability to adjust quickly to adverse developments,” Fears said. “If claims exceed expectations, an RRG can raise rates promptly without navigating regulatory approval processes. This responsiveness is a significant benefit.”

Beyond rate flexibility, RRGs offer enhanced control over loss prevention strategies. Since members share similar risks and have direct ownership stakes, they can implement comprehensive risk control programs tailored to their specific industry challenges.

“RRGs also offer more control over loss prevention,” Fears said. “They can implement safety programs, risk control initiatives, seminars, workshops, and on-site training as requirements for insureds. This comprehensive approach to risk management enhances their overall effectiveness.”

However, these advantages come with significant structural limitations. RRGs are restricted to writing liability coverage only, which includes lines such as commercial auto liability, other liability, and medical

professional liability. Medical professional liability represents the largest segment in terms of premium volume, with approximately half of all RRGs writing this coverage exclusively.

This narrow focus creates both opportunities and vulnerabilities. While specialization allows for deeper industry expertise and more precise risk assessment, it also means that RRGs cannot diversify across multiple lines of business to smooth out adverse developments.

“The primary advantage of risk retention groups is also their disadvantage: they require homogeneous groups from one industry or business sector,” Fears said. “This single-sector, single-line-of-business model is both a benefit and a challenge. If you experience adverse development or higher-than-expected claims, it can stress the program and its surplus.”

This concentration of risk explains why RRGs typically maintain higher capitalization levels compared to traditional insurers. The potential for claims deterioration in a single line of business necessitates additional financial protection that diversified carriers achieve through their broader portfolios.

The risk materialized dramatically in the trucking sector several years ago, when multiple RRGs became insolvent due to rapidly deteriorating claims experience. These failures highlighted the importance of vigilant risk control and prompt response to adverse trends in the RRG structure.

Actuarial Expertise in RRG Risk Management

The specialized nature and unique risk profile of RRGs require equally specialized actuarial analysis. Traditional industry benchmarks and development patterns may not apply to these concentrated, industry-specific risk pools, making expert guidance essential for sustainable operations.

This realization led Pinnacle Actuarial Resources to develop comprehensive research into RRG performance characteristics. The firm conducts annual benchmarking studies that examine how RRGs perform relative to the broader insurance market, providing crucial data for pricing, reserving, and risk management decisions.

“We conduct an annual benchmarking study of RRGs, which we’re currently working on,” Fears said. “We perform extensive comparisons between RRGs and the broader industry to understand how they differ. This research emerged from our work on reserving and pricing for numerous RRGs, questioning whether standard industry benchmarks were appropriate.”

The research reveals significant performance differences between RRGs and traditional carriers. Loss development patterns often vary substantially, and loss ratios consistently run higher than industry averages.

“Overall, the loss ratios for RRGs are running approximately 10% higher than the industry average,” Fears said. “Their loss ratios consistently fall within the 80-90% range, whereas the industry average is between 70-75%. This difference remains fairly consistent across the board.”

These findings have important implications for RRG management. Higher loss ratios reflect lower underwriting expenses, but may mean less margin for error and greater sensitivity to adverse developments. Actuarial analysis must account for these characteristics when setting reserves, establishing rates, and monitoring financial health.

The firm's research extends beyond basic performance metrics to examine regulatory costs and market dynamics. By analyzing financial examination fees across different states and correlating them with RRG populations, Pinnacle helps clients optimize their domiciliary choices and expense management.

Looking ahead, the RRG sector continues to demonstrate resilience and growth despite its inherent challenges. As traditional insurance markets face their own capacity constraints and pricing pressures, the specialized expertise and risk-sharing benefits of RRGs become increasingly attractive to industry groups seeking stable, long-term coverage solutions.

“Risk Retention Groups are generally fulfilling their market purpose effectively,” Fears said. “Staying vigilant with risk control, claims pricing, and industry trends is crucial in this sector. RRGs typically operate with high loss ratios, so it's essential to react quickly when signs of adverse development appear.”

The success of RRGs ultimately depends on careful balance: leveraging the advantages of industry specialization and regulatory flexibility while maintaining rigorous risk control and financial discipline. With proper actuarial guidance and management oversight, these structures continue to serve their original purpose of providing coverage where traditional markets fall short.

To learn more, visit <https://www.pinnacleactuaries.com/>.

&BrandStudio

This article was produced by the R&I Brand Studio, a unit of the advertising department of Risk & Insurance, in collaboration with Pinnacle Actuarial Resources, Inc. The editorial staff of Risk & Insurance had no role in its preparation.